

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,590		09/25/2001	John Hey	HEY/005/US	5200	
28534	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
BRIAN N			YOUNG, JOHN L			
MIRICK, O'CONNELL, DEMALLIE & LOUGEE, LLP 100 FRONT STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WORCES				3622		
			:	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Vン					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	K					
	09/682,590	HEY, JOHN						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	John L Young	3622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	SS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl tf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Min, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	eptember 2001.							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		-					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· · · · · ·	-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	` '					
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	tammer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-1	152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee	Application No	ge					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ` `	ot peceived.)						
JOHNLE	ONARD YOUNG, ESC							
PHIN Attachment(s)	MARY EXAMINER (	9-20-20.	2 Y					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	/ Summary (PTO-413)						
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/2004.	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	·)					

Serial Number: 09/682,590 (Hey) 2

Art Unit: 3622

## FIRST ACTION REJECTION

(Paper# 9/20/2004)

#### **DRAWINGS**

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; said drawings are acceptable for examination purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS—Minor Informalities**

2. "While there is no statutory form for claims, the present Office practice is to insist that..." the claims must be the object of a sentence starting with 'I (or we) claim,' 'The invention claimed is' (or the equivalent)." Therefore, at the top of the first page of the claims, delete the word "Claims" and replace it with either the phrase: --I claim-- or --The invention claims is--.

Also, it is common practice to indicate claims by writing:

Claim 1 . . .

Claim 2 . . . etc.

Art Unit: 3622

Therefore, at the first line of each claim delete the brackets and the letter "c" and insert the word

- -Claim- - followed the appropriate Arabic number; for example, for claim 1 at line, 1 delete

"[c1]" and replace it with - -Claim 1- -.

#### CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. §101

35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, because said claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claims 1-14, as drafted said claims are not limited by language within the technological arts (see *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972); *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974) also see MPEP 2106 IV 2(b), even though said claims are limited by language to a useful, concrete and tangible application (See *State Street v. Signature financial Group*, 149 F.3d at 1374-75, 47 USPQ 2d at 1602 (Fed Cir. 1998); *AT&T Corp. v. Excel*, 50 USPQ 2d 1447, 1452 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

Serial Number: 09/682,590 (Hey) 4

Art Unit: 3622

Note: it is well settled in the law that "[although] a claim should be interpreted in light of the specification disclosure, it is generally considered improper to read limitations contained in the specification into the claims. See *In re Prater*, 415, F.2d 1393, 162 USPQ 541 (CCPA 1969) and *In re Winkhaus*, 527 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1975), which discuss the premise that one cannot rely on the specification to impart limitations to the claims that are not recited in the claims." (See MPEP 2173.05(q)).

## CLAIM REJECTIONS -35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over <u>Hamzy</u> 6,636,247 (10/21/2003) [US f/d: 1/31/2000] (herein referred to as "<u>Hamzy</u>").

5

Art Unit: 3622

As per independent claim 1, <u>Hamzy</u> (col. 6, ll. 66-67; and col. 7, ll. 1-10) discloses: "Another method to extend advertisement viewing is to display the advertisement with a question and give a number of URLs where the answer is hidden. This is shown in **FIG.**8... The user will not be able to proceed without giving the correct answer.

Alternatively, the text of the advertisement maybe displayed and a question about the text must be answered before proceeding."

Hamzy (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 10; col. 2, ll. 10-30; col. 4, ll. 60-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; & col. 8, ll. 1-25) implicitly shows all the elements and limitations of claim 1; however,

Hamzy lacks explicit recitation of "presenting the advertisement to the viewer; presenting, proximate the advertisement, at least one question whose answer can be gotten by reading the advertisement; and requiring the viewer to answer correctly at least one such question in order to proceed."

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Hamzy (col. 6, ll. 66-67; and col. 7, ll. 1-10) inherently shows those elements and limitations not explicitly recited, and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Hamzy cited above as inherently showing those elements and limitations not explicitly recited, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Hamzy would have provided means for "the display of advertisements in computer networks. . . . " (see Hamzy (col. 1, ll.5-13)), based on the motivation to modify Hamzy so as to provide methods "for extending viewing time

Serial Number: 09/682,590 (Hey)

6

Art Unit: 3622

of advertisements on a client browser operating in a distributed server computer environment. . . . " (see <u>Hamzy</u> (col. 1, ll.5-13)).

As per dependent claims 2-15 <u>Hamzy</u> implicitly shows method of claim 1 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 1.

Hamzy (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 10; col. 2, ll. 10-30; col. 4, ll. 60-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; & col. 8, ll. 1-25) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-15; however,

Hamzy lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 2-15.

"Official Notice" is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-15, were well known and expected in the art by one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention because; for example, it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Hamzy cited above as showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-15, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Hamzy would have provided means for "the display of advertisements in computer networks. . . ." (see Hamzy (col. 1, ll.5-13)), based on the motivation to modify Hamzy so as to provide methods "for extending viewing time of advertisements on a client browser operating in a distributed server computer environment. . . ." (see Hamzy (col. 1, ll.5-13)).

Art Unit: 3622

#### **CONCLUSION**

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703)305-7687 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh Floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

(Hey)

8

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John/L. Young

JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Primary Patent Examiner

September 20, 2004